

The Athenian Mercury:

Tuesday, October 18. 1692. Licens'd, E. B.



Quest. 1. How came Thoughts first into the Minds of men?

Answ. The Question appears something puzzling at first; but on a little closer Examination, all this difficulty vanishes — *Thoughts* indeed did never come into the minds of men from without, but at the very same time we suppose a *Mind*, we suppose *Thought*; for whether we make the Essence of *Spirit* or *Mind* to consist in *Activity*, or *cogitability*, (if we may have leave to coyn the *Word*) twill recur to much the same thing; if *Mind* is a *knowing*, *thinking*, or *acting Substance*, at the same time it thinks or acts, there is *Thought*, which is nothing else than the *action* of the *Mind*, and which it must exert whenever it has *actual Existence*.

Quest. 2. What is the Similitude of God in Man, or whether it consists in the rational faculty?

Answ. In some of our former Mercuries concerning the *Soul of Men*, we have declar'd we take this Similitude to consist in *knowing*, *willing*, *judging*, which are all Acts of Reason. Shou'd we be more *Metaphysical*, we might fall in with several pretty Notions on this Head. Every derivative Being has some marks or Signatures of the first transcendental Original, *Man* has these more deeply and legibly imprinted than any other visible Creature, and seems to partake more largely of *Entity* and its Properties, than any thing besides in this material World, which might be made appear in the three Properties of *Being*, *Unity*, *Truth* and *Goodness*. As to *Unity*, shou'd we embrace the Platonical Notion, that the Mind is the Man, 'twere easie to prove Man a more simple Being than any other Inhabitants of this World: However thus far 'tis certain, that what is the Noblest part in him, namely his *Soul*, is simple and uncompounded, at least with any other Composition than that of *Essence* and *Existence*; whereas that which is the principle of Action in Beasts, is in our Opinions wholly material, (tho' some of our Society are of other Sentiments,) both Matter and Form are compounded in a *Beast*, the Matter only in a *Man*. Then for *Truth*, objective Truth, or that by which a thing is known to be what it really is, which by the best Metaphysicians is thought a Propriety of *Ens* or *Being*, it is very visibly and fairly stamp'd on mens Minds, and is indeed the principle of all *Science*. Metaphysical *Good* is either so in it self, or to others; the first is perfect, either simply so, as God only, or in its own rank or order, as *Man*, of a sound Mind and Body. *Good* in relation to another, seems to fall in with moral Good, or at least moral Good may be rank'd under that as well as Physical or Natural; but in whatever fence we take it, *Man* has the Notions of it imprinted in his Mind, he has there inscrib'd the Principles of true and false, and what are perhaps more necessary to his Happiness, those also of Good and Evil, and in all of these he seems made after the *Image of God*: — To which, what if we shou'd also add, That the outward, *Majestick*, *Divine*, *God-like Form* of *Man* may not improbably be here also hinted at, not as if God were like *Man*, with corporeal Hands, Eyes, as a sort of foolish Hereticks formerly thought him, whose monstrous Fancies were reviv'd by *Biddle* in the last Age, but that *Man* may be said in some measure, and in comparison of other Creatures to be like God, to have a sort of Rays or Glory round his Face, and as he is Lord of the Creation, and has Dominion over the visible World, therein to express some faint glimmerings of that infinite *Majesty* and *Power* whose *Deputy* he is here below.

Quest. 3. Whether the *Image of God* is more perfectly express'd in *Men* or *Angels*?

Answ. If we take the whole Body in Gross of meer

Mankind, we think this *Image* must be granted more lively and glorious in *Angels* than *Men*, we being made a little lower than the *Angels*; thus their *Unity* is more perfect, excluding all Composition of *Matter* and *Form*, they must know infinitely more than we, having the advantage of *Age*, and all our Experiences, besides what they may have themselves. — And indeed the Invention of some very useful Arts has appear'd so strange and unaccountable, that it would tempt a Man to think they were really owing to the impulse or Revelation of some of these friendly *Spirits*, who may also (as is still more probable) be the Authors of those kind admonitions, which have been often undoubtedly given to the World by *Prodigies* and *Signs* in the Heavens of future Contingencies, and approaching Judgments, that Mankind may avoid 'em, and attone God's Anger by Repentance. And those who thus *know Truth* must love it; those who are so *wise*, must be proportionably *Good* themselves, and love *Good* in others, communicated or Original, having no opposite Principle, and being also, as some not improbably conjectured, now confirm'd in *Goodness* by our Saviour, who was the *Head of Angels* as well as *Men*, tho' he did not indeed take their *Nature* upon him as he did *ours*; which leads to a *distinction* we inserted at the beginning of this Answer, wherein we assert that Gods *Image* is more lively in *Angels* than in *meer Man*; for if we consider the *Secondos*, *God-man*, the *Man Jesus Christ*, we shall find this *Image* still infinitely more luminous and glorious in him than in the highest *Angel* in Heaven, being indeed, as the Holy Scriptures most majestically describe him, *the brightness of his Fathers Glory*, and *the express Image of his Person*; and as in the other famous place, *the first-born of every Creature, Angels, and Principalities and Powers being made subject unto him*. This will be easily granted as to *Knowledge*, *Goodness*, *Power*, or any other *Divine Attributes*, one only excepted, which may admit some dispute, and that is *Simplicity*, *Indivisibility*, or *Unity*: for are not the *Angels*, may it be said, more simple Beings, more closely, intimately, purely *one* than our Saviour, who is compounded, nay *discomposit*, (to borrow a word from the Latins) of *God* and *Man*, and that man agen of *Soul* and *Body*: But to this we think there's a clear and ready Answer. As our Blessed Saviour by the intimate Union between the Humane and Divine Nature, had all Power both in Heaven and Earth, even while he was here in mortal weakness, and might have used it when he pleas'd, and so all Grace, all Wisdom, and other Divine Perfections, having in him all the fulness of the Godhead bodily; if so, then proportionably by the same ineffable Union by which *he and the Father are one*, he partakes of such a *Simplicity*, such an *Indivisibility*, nay even *Indistinction* too, as to *Essence*, as no *Man*, no *Angel* ever had, admitting no manner of *Composition*, neither of *matter* and *matter*, nor *matter* and *Form*, nor *Essence* and *Existence*, nor *Act* and *Power*, but ever remaining, as he is *God*, one simple, incomprehensible, indivisible, glorious Being, having also at once exalted our *Nature*, and dazled our *Reason*, by taking the *Manhood* into *God*; by which Union, not to be found in any Creature, he is more simple, more undivided than any other Being, something after the same manner, (for indeed both are inconceivable) as the Reasonable *Soul* and *Flesh* in one *Man*, and as that *Man* is more *one* than other visible Creatures, because of his *Soul*, which as before, admits of no other *Composition* but that of *Existence* and *Essence*.

Quest. 4. Whether is more proper to say, the *Soul contains the Body, or the Body the Soul*?

Answ. We think neither of 'em, strictly taken, a pro-

per manner of Expression, since neither does the Soul contain the Body, nor the Body properly speaking contain the Soul; if we take containing for circumscribing, which implies parts both in the thing contain'd and containing, nay, parts without parts, or quantitative parts, which differ in place and size from each other: But here one of the Terms, namely, the Soul, can have no such parts, therefore it neither can properly contain, nor be contain'd, any more than it can be felt, or seen, or smelt, (by Philip Neri's Note) or as a modern Author pleasantly expresses it, any more than you can have a Yard of Thought or a Pound of Reason.

Quest. 5. How comes the Soul in its separate state, to know another Soul from an Angel, or the Soul of St. Peter from the Soul of Judas, and whether this distinction can be made any other way than by Vision? and if so, whether Vision must not imply difference of Figure?

Answ. 'Tis but little we know at best of our Souls, even in their present State, and much less does it appear what we shall then be: However, we think the likeliest way to find any thing of probability (we hardly dare say certainty in matters of so Nice a Nature) is to shut our Eyes, and prescind or abstract from all sensible Notions of things, by which we shall at least gain thus much, not to wander much in the wrong way, tho' we may hardly be able to hit in the right. We must then consider that our Eyes are a pair of arrant Chears, and by our having receiv'd most of our Notions from 'em, take the advantage insufferably to impose upon us as to those Objects which are out of their Province, and not to be judg'd of by sense but reason; this the other senses remonstrate against, and fighting the fight with its own Weapons, make their Party pretty good, and never suffer Men to talk of seeing a sound or smell; whereas reason being more abstract, deep, and farther off, the sense at first can with more ease impose upon it, 'till it comes to reflect on that advice it gives it — Thus here, let any Man set himself a thinking about Souls in their separate state, or so conceiv'd, at first glance he'll hardly forbear thinking they are like some glorious thing or other that he has seen or heard of, and perhaps 'twill trouble him to think what he shall do for want of Eyes to see such a sight after he has lost his Body — but if he'd agen consider, that ev'n in sensible Objects there are several ways of Perception besides sight, that Angels now certainly have Perception and Knowledge of what is done by Corporeal Agents, which yet have no Eyes nor Ears to do it, that we our selves have now a different Perception from those, we have by our outward Sences (and the internal too, if such there be) which we call reason, and that this Reason is the judge of all outward Perceptions; we shall from all this conclude, that either God will make other Senses which we are not now aware of, by which in a separate State we shall discern what is necessary for us, or else that by reason improv'd or exalted, we shall be capable of judging what is spiritual and reasonable, tho' the manner we can't know 'till we are all Spirit.

Quest. 6. Gentlemen, I would desire you to inform me which way Cain went into the Land of Nod, whether by Sea or Land, and who it was he took to Wife?

Answ. The Circumstances of the History resolves any that will compare them; that Ver. 3. in Proces of time, or 130 Years after the Creation, Cain committed this first Breach in Natural Religion, to kill his Brother Abel at that Publick Sacrifice upon their seventh day; and because God manifested his Pleasure or Displeasure by Voice or otherways, &c. to shew his acceptance, therefore it was called the Presence of the Lord, and because these two Brothers were the Heads of the two Lines, the one famous for Good, the other infamous for Bad, therefore all the Numerous Progeny of Adam and Eve, multiplied within that 130 Years, are omitted, and God designing all should come from one Blood, it's not to be believed Eve was to be Barren all that Proces of Time, but as the Families increased, they left Adam, Cain and Abel, with others, and Travelled towards the East part of the Garden, and Collony'd a Place which they called Nod, or the Land or Settlement of the Travellers or Wanderers, and held Correspondence with Adam and them Families, and hither Cain fled for Sanctuary after his Fratricide, and by land and crossing small Ri-

vers he arrived there, and married a Noditish Woman among these his Relations, and there by their assistance he built, and learned them the Art of Fortification.

Quest. 7. It being a Common Opinion that man consists only of two parts, viz. a Soul and Body, and finding that Dr. Hammond in his Practical Catechism, is of Opinion, that Man consists of three parts, a Body, a living Soul, and an immortal Spirit, which to prove he uses 1 Thes. 5. 23. Now I desire to know your Opinion, whether the Soul and Spirit are one, or how diversified? and if the Soul be the more ignoble, what becomes of it at death?

Answ. We have already in our former Papers assert-ed a Man to be made of Body, Soul and Spirit, from the aforesaid Text, where St. Paul prays that their whole Man, Body, Soul, and Spirit, may be, &c. As for the difference of the Soul and Body, (tho' they are generally taken for the same) the Spirit is that immortal substance which is commonly taken for the Soul; and this agrees with our Saviour's recommendation of his Spirit into his Fathers hands, when he dy'd upon the Cross; as also after him St. Stephen, when he was ston'd. By the Soul we mean no more than the Animal Life, and hence it is that we say that Beasts and Vegetables have Souls, that is, have lives, or live after their proper Nature: As to what becomes of the Humane Soul when a Man dyes, we believe the same that some of the Poets did, *Tenuis vacescit in Auras*, it vanishes into Air; or perhaps as Solomon speaks of the Soul of a Beast, *it goes downward*, meaning to the Earth; that this middle Principle or Soul of Man is common with that of Beasts, may very fairly be gathered from the Experiments that have been made of transfusion of the Blood of Brutes into Men, which have done very well after, when as their own was before that drain'd out, or no longer able to entertain life by reason of indisposition and distempers, and the Scripture expressly calls the Blood of Beasts their life, but their life being trans fus'd into Man, and he living by that makes good what we have said above, and perhaps wou'd evince more to the same effect.

Advertisemens.

¶ **F**all not out by the Way: Or, a Perswasion to a Friendly Correspondence between the Conformists and Nonconformists, in a Funeral Discourse on Gen. 45. 24. Occasioned by the Desire of Mr. Anthony Dunwell, in his last Will. By Timothy Rogers, M. A.

¶ **A** Mourning Ring in Memory of your Departed Friend, containing, The House of Weeping; The Sick-man's Passing-Bell; The Author's Tears, or Meditations on his own Sicknes, Death and Funeral; The History of those that have dyed suddenly. Observations on the Bills of Mortality: With a comprehensive Discourse of Monuments, Epitaphs, and other Funeral Honours. The Second Edition.

¶ **A** Practical Discourse on the late Earthquakes, with an Historical Account of Prodigies and their Various Effects. By a Reverend Divine.

¶ **T**He Life and Funeral Sermon of the Reverend Mr. Thomas Brand, by Dr. Annesley.
All four Printed for John Dunton at the Raven in the Poultry.

¶ **I**n Grays-Inn-lane in Ploy-yard, the third Door, lives Dr. Thomas Kirleus, a Collegiate Physician, and Sworn Physician in Ordinary to King Charles the Second, until his death; who with a Drink and Pill (hindring no Businels) undertakes to Cure any Ulcers, Sores, Swellings in the Nose, Face, or other parts; Scabs, Itch, Scurfs, Leprosies, and Venerial Disease, expecting nothing until the Cure be finished: Of the last he hath cured many hundreds in this City, many of them after fluxing, which carries the evil from the Lower Parts to the Head, and so destroys many. The Drink is 3 s. the Quart, the Pill 1 s. a Box, with Directions; a better Purger than which was never given, for they cleanele the Body of all Impurities, which are the causes of Dropies, Gouts, Scurvies, Stone or Gravel, Pains in the Head, and other parts. With another Drink at 1 s. 6 d. a Quart. He cures all Fevers and hot Distempers without Bleeding, except in few Bodies. He gives his Opinion to all that writes or comes for nothing.